

D.P.U. 96-49-H

Application of Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company, pursuant to G.L. c. 164 App., §§ 2-1 through 2-10 and 220 C.M.R. §§ 7.00 et seq., for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's proposed surcharge for the Residential Energy Conservation Service Program for fiscal year 1997 (July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997). Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company is a member utility of Mass-Save, Inc., which provides services on its behalf.

---

APPEARANCE: Meabh Purcell, Esq.  
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, L.L.P.  
260 Franklin Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
FOR: FITCHBURG GAS AND ELECTRIC LIGHT  
COMPANY  
Petitioner

## I. INTRODUCTION

On May 13, 1996, pursuant to G.L. c. 164 App., §§ 2-1 through 2-10 and 220 C.M.R. §§ 7.00 et seq., Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company ("Fitchburg" or "Company") filed with the Department of Public Utilities ("Department") a petition for approval by the Department of the Company's proposed surcharge of \$0.17 per bill per month for the residential energy conservation service ("ECS") program for the fiscal year July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997 ("FY 1997"). Fitchburg is a member utility of Mass-Save Inc. ("MSI") which provides ECS to the Company's customers on behalf of Fitchburg. The petition was docketed as D.P.U. 96-49-H.

Pursuant to notice duly issued, a hearing was held at the offices of the Department on May 30, 1995. No petitions for leave to intervene were filed. In support of its petition, the Company sponsored the testimony of one witness: Karen M. Asbury, manager of pricing for UNITIL Service Corporation.<sup>1</sup> The Company submitted one exhibit which was admitted into evidence.

## II. ECS SURCHARGE

The ECS surcharge is calculated by dividing the total number of bills expected to be rendered during FY 1997 by the net amount to be collected to support ECS services (Exh. FGE-1, at 1). The Company indicated that its share of MSI's proposed FY 1997 ECS program budget, approved by the Department in Mass-Save, Inc., D.P.U. 96-49 (1996), is \$74,753.79 (id.). In addition to the projected ECS program expenditures, the Company provided documentation which reconciled undercollections and overcollections from prior fiscal years (id.). The effect of

---

<sup>1</sup> Ms. Asbury testified that Fitchburg and UNITIL Service Corporation are sister corporations owned by UNITIL Corporation (Tr. at 5). Ms. Asbury indicated that UNITIL Service Corporation provides management services to Fitchburg (id.).

these reconciling items is an undercollection of \$18,172.57 for the fiscal year July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996 ("FY 1996"), and an overcollection of \$37,099.25 for prior fiscal years (id.).

Adjusting the budget amount by these under and overcollections results in a net amount to be collected in FY 1997 of \$55,827.11 (id.).

For FY 1997, the Company proposed an ECS surcharge of \$0.17<sup>2</sup> per bill per month (Exh. FGE-1, at 1; Tr. at 7). The proposed ECS surcharge is \$0.02 per bill more than the ECS surcharge of \$0.15 per bill per month approved by the Department in Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company, D.P.U. 95-46-H (1995) for FY 1996 (Tr. at 7). Ms. Asbury testified that the reason for the higher proposed surcharge factor in FY 1997 is an undercollection of \$18,172.57 at the end of FY 1996 (id. at 8). Ms. Asbury explained that, with the prior rate of \$0.15, the company intended to undercollect to eliminate a cumulative overcollection of approximately \$37,000 (Tr. at 8, 14). Ms. Asbury further explained since the overcollection has been reduced to \$19,000, a small increase in the surcharge rate is needed to attain the net amount to be collected in FY 1997 (Exh. FGE-1, at 1; Tr. at 8).

## II. FINDINGS

Based on the foregoing, the Department finds that:

---

<sup>2</sup> The Company's surcharge calculated to four decimal places is \$0.1724. The calculation truncated to two decimal places results in a surcharge of \$0.17 to be applied to customer bills.

1. the proposed FY 1997 ECS program budget, budget reconciliations, and proposed FY 1997 surcharge, are reasonable; and

2. the ECS surcharge to be applied to Company bills during the fiscal year July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997 shall be \$0.17 per bill per month.

IV. ORDER

Accordingly, after due notice, hearing, and consideration, it is

ORDERED: That the ECS surcharge to be applied to Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company bills during the fiscal year July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997 shall be \$0.17 per bill per month; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company shall file for an adjustment to its ECS surcharge in the event that it is overcollecting by more than ten percent at the end of the third quarter of FY 1997.

By Order of the Department,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mary Clark Webster, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Janet Gail Besser, Commissioner

Appeal as to matters of law from any final decision, order or ruling of the Commission may be taken to the Supreme Judicial Court by an aggrieved party in interest by the filing of a

written petition praying that the Order of the Commission be modified or set aside in whole or in part.

Such petition for appeal shall be filed with the Secretary of the Commission within twenty days after the date of service of the decision, order or ruling of the Commission, or within such further time as the Commission may allow upon request filed prior to the expiration of twenty days after the date of service of said decision, order or ruling. Within ten days after such petition has been filed, the appealing party shall enter the appeal in the Supreme Judicial Court sitting in Suffolk County by filing a copy thereof with the Clerk of said Court. (Sec. 5, Chapter 25, G.L. Ter. Ed., as most recently amended by Chapter 485 of the Acts of 1971).